Endocrine disruption and cytotoxicity of glyphosate and roundup in human JAr cells in vitro

Fiona Young*, Dao Ho, Danielle Glynn and Vicki Edwards

Department of Medical Biotechnology, Flinders University, Adelaide, South Australia

Abstract

The toxicity of the active molecule in herbicides has been used to determine safe concentrations, because other components are considered inert. Roundup, which contains the active molecule Glyphosate, was described as an endocrine disrupter because non-cytotoxic concentrations inhibited progesterone synthesis in vitro. Human chorioplacental JAr cells synthesise progesterone, and increase synthesis when stimulated by chorionic gonadotrophin (hCG), or the transduction molecule cAMP.

JAr cells were exposed to two Roundup formulations, and compared with the same concentrations of glyphosate ± cAMP, or ± hCG for 1, 4, 24, 48 or 72h. The surviving viable cells were quantified using an MTT assay, and progesterone was measured in an ELISA.

hCG and cAMP stimulated progesterone synthesis by cells in vitro as expected. In contrast to previous reports, JAr cell death preceded decreased progesterone synthesis, and steroidogenesis was unaffected by low, non-cytotoxic concentrations of Roundup or glyphosate. Roundup was more cytotoxic than glyphosate alone; the 24h EC50 was 16mM for glyphosate, but 0.008mM when glyphosate was in a 7.2g/L Roundup formulation. Significant cytotoxicity was caused by glyphosate in Roundup (p<0.01) after 24h, and cytotoxicity was observed in vitro after exposure to a range of concentrations comparable to the Australian Drinking Water Guidelines.

Endocrine disruption effects were secondary to cytotoxicity. Roundup was more cytotoxic than the same concentration of glyphosate alone, indicating that the other constituents of the herbicide are not inert. There is a compelling need to conduct in vivo studies to characterise the toxicity of glyphosate in a Roundup formulation, to facilitate re-evaluation of existing public health guidelines.

Introduction

Endocrine disrupting compounds (EDCs) are defined by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) as being “exogenous agents that interfere with synthesis, secretion, transport, metabolism, binding action, or elimination of natural blood-borne hormones that are present in the body.” The US Endocrine Society noted that key mechanisms of action for endocrine disruption involve perturbation of the enzymatic pathways involved in steroid biosynthesis [1].

The rate limiting step of steroid hormone synthesis is the uptake of cholesterol from the outer to the inner mitochondrial membrane, a process mediated by the steroidalogenic acute regulatory protein (StAR) [2,3]. Once cholesterol is inside the mitochondria it is rapidly converted to pregnenolone by cytochrome P450 cholesterol side chain cleavage enzyme (P450sc), and pregnenolone is converted to progesterone by 3β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase (3β-HSD) [4,5]. The StAR protein is acutely regulated, dependent on trophic hormone stimulation and is not an enzyme, which makes it more of a target for endocrine disruption than the steroidogenic enzymes, which are usually present in excess amounts and have long half-lives [2]. The cytochrome P450 aromatase enzyme (P450arom), which produces estradiol 17 beta (E), also appears to be modulated by xenobiotics such as Roundup[6,7]. Both StAR and P450arom are upregulated by activation of the lutetising hormone/chorionic gonadotrophin receptor (LH /CG), which has cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) as an intracellular transduction molecule. The administration of a cAMP analogue, dibutrylcAMP, to the steroidogenic MA10 cell line in vitro upregulated StAR protein and stimulated a four-fold increase in synthesis of the steroid hormone progesterone [8].

The human JAr cell line was derived from a choriocarcinoma tumour of the placenta [9] and maintains placental and endocrine functions in vitro [10,11] including the synthesis and secretion of progesterone (P), 17β-estradiol and human chorionic gonadotrophin (hCG), as well as the transport of nutrients and waste products in and out of the cell: functions which are characteristic of the placental transport from mother to foetus [10,12,13]. The hepatobiliary-like characteristics of the JAr cell line make them a good model for studying the uptake, activation and elimination of novel compounds in vitro [11,14]. JAr cells also proliferate rapidly in vitro, with reported doubling times ranging from 15h [15] to 29h [16]. The steroidogenicJAr cell line therefore can be used to investigateEDCs that disrupt hormone synthesis [14,17].

Glyphosate inhibits the 5-enolpyruvoylshikimate-3-phosphate
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This specificity to plants, along with its metabolic breakdown to AMPA and thereafter to CO₂, provided rationale for its development as a herbicide. The Monsanto company utilised these properties by developing transgenic maize, cotton and other crops that are resistant to glyphosate [18], thus increasing broad scale application of glyphosate in Roundup formulations. Glyphosate occurs as a hydrophilic acidic isopropylamine salt [19], which impairs its entry to lipid membrane bound cells. The herbicidal activity of glyphosate is increased by adding surfactants and other adjuvants, and a number of these mixtures are marketed under the blanket term 'Roundup' [20,21]. There are a number of Roundup formulations containing different concentrations of glyphosate and adjuvants such as the surfactant POEA [21]. The adjuvants and ‘inert’ constituents of many of these formulations have been identified, and the different Roundup formulations assigned to different classes of high, mid and low toxicity accordingly [22]. Roundup Bioforce with 360g/L glyphosate, and another formulation with 7.2g/L glyphosate, are amongst the least toxic Roundup formulations.

Manufacturers recommend that 1-2% solutions of Roundup in water should be used for agricultural applications, hence agricultural workers may be exposed to 100% concentrated solutions of approximately 2.13M glyphosate, and to 1-2% dilutions of the 360g/L Roundup formulation, which equates to exposure to 21-42mM of glyphosate. Roundup for domestic applications is sold in ready-to-use sprays, or in concentrated forms that require dilution to similar concentrations to those used in the agricultural setting. The Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council [23] gives 0.2mg/L (0.001mM) glyphosate as the trigger value for recreational water quality (2000), and the Australian Drinking Water Guideline (NH&MRC 2011) [24] is 1mg/L (0.0059mM) because this is 10% of the acceptable daily intake and does not pose a risk to human health.

The USEPA and other jurisdictions regulate the concentration of the active ingredient glyphosate, but the adjuvants in Roundup are considered to be inert and hence are not required to be subjected to toxicological assessment [21,22,25]. Recent reports however, indicate that the toxicity of Roundup is not directly correlated to the concentration of glyphosate, but to the differing adjuvants and surfactants comprising various Roundup formulations [7,20].

Serum-free in vitro exposure conditions were used to determine that a 2h exposure to 0.15mM glyphosate in a 180g/L Roundup formulation did not affect mouse Leydig MA10 cell viability but did significantly reduce progesterone dose-dependently at non-cytotoxic concentrations [26]. Although total protein synthesis was not affected, StAR protein expression was reduced by 90%, CYP450scc activity was halved, and progesterone synthesis was halved by the Roundup, whereas glycosylation had no effect at 100µg/mL (0.6mM), the highest dose tested [26].

Similarly, a short in vitro serum-free exposure to 0.015mM glyphosate in a 360g/L Roundup formulation (i.e. different adjuvants from the 180g/L formulation) halved the viability of human placental choriocarcinoma JEG3 cells [6]. Although the differing toxicity of the two Roundup formulations [20], and the differing sensitivity of the two cell lines (Leydig MA10 and choriocarcinoma JEG3) to xenobiotics prevent direct comparisons between these two studies, it can be concluded that Roundup, but not Glyphosate, reduced progesterone synthesis by inhibiting steroidogenic enzyme expression and activity, and that these endocrine disrupting effects occurred in the absence of cell death. Furthermore, endocrine disruption in these in vitro models occurred after relatively short 2-18h exposures and at concentrations in the same range that agricultural workers are exposed to when applying 1-2% solutions of Roundup.

The addition of serum to in vitro cell culture systems reduced the toxicity of Roundup [6]. The cell culture media were acidified to pH 5.8 for these experiments [19]. The P450arm activity was related to pH: as acidity increased (pH decreased), enzyme activity decreased.

In serum-free pH 5.8 in vitro culture conditions, the cytotoxic mechanism of action of Roundup and glyphosate was apoptosis followed by secondary necrosis. Roundup caused general cell plasma membrane damage before decreasing mitochondrial membrane succinate dehydrogenase activity as measured in the MTT assay. In contrast, glyphosate did not initially reduce plasma membrane integrity, and only decreased succinate dehydrogenase activity [20].

The mixtures of glyphosate and adjuvants in Roundup have not been examined in vivo, except in one well-conducted 2 year chronic toxicity study [27,28], in which serum concentrations of estrogen and androgen in rats exposed to low concentrations of Roundup in drinking water were reported. Roundup affected the ratio of these two hormones in vivo, and there was an increase in estrogen-dependent mammary tumour formation [29].

There is only one report describing the effect of glyphosate and Roundup on progesterone synthesis [26], and this study used a cell line derived from a male mouse. Progesterone is essential for the correct regulation of the human menstrual cycle and for maintaining pregnancy, and the effect of the ubiquitous herbicide Glyphosate, or Roundup, on progesterone synthesis by human female cells requires further investigation. Progesterone synthesis in the second half of the menstrual cycle in vivo is regulated by LH, and high levels of progesterone synthesis are maintained during pregnancy in vivo by embryonic secretion of human chorionic gonadotrophin (hCG), which binds to the common transmembrane LH/hCG receptor. The effects of Roundup on progesterone secretion by human female cells, and the activity of the pituitary-derived regulatory gonadotrophin, luteinising hormone (LH), and embryo-derived chorionic gonadotrophin (CG) activity, have not previously been examined in vitro or in vivo.

Walsh et al. (2000) [26] concluded that the inhibitory effect of Roundup on progesterone production by the male mouse Leydig MA10 cell line was mediated by downregulation of the steroidogenesis rate-limiting protein, StAR. Our study aims to confirm this observation by exposing the human female choriocarcinoma JAr cell line (similar to the previously examined JEG cell line) to glyphosate and Roundup in vitro in order to measure cell viability and effects on steroidogenesis. Secondly, we aim to use the LH/hCG receptor transduction molecule cAMP to upregulate progesterone synthesis. Since LH/hCG receptor binding upregulates intracellular cAMP, which in turn upregulates StAR [30,31] which stimulates progesterone synthesis by JAr cells in vitro [14], progesterone can be used to indirectly monitor StAR activity. We hypothesise that non-cytotoxic concentrations of Roundup will inhibit basal progesterone synthesis at lower concentrations than Glyphosate, and that non-toxic concentrations of Roundup, but not Glyphosate, will attenuate cAMP-stimulated progesterone synthesis. This hypothesis is supported by Clair et al. [32], who found that Roundup decreased testosterone production by primary derived testicular cells at non-cytotoxic concentrations.

This will be the first study to examine the effects of glyphosate and
Roundup on progesterone production by human female cells in an in vitro cell culture system that models key aspects of reproduction in women.

Materials and methods

Chemicals

All chemicals and reagents used in this study were HPLC grade and obtained from Sigma-Aldrich unless otherwise stated.

Cell line and cell culture

The JAr cell line [9] was obtained from the Global Bioresource CentreTM (ATCC) and maintained in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% heat inactivated Foetal Calf Serum (FCS, Invitrogen Corporation), sodium pyruvate (1mM), HEPES (10mM), glucose (4.5g/L), L-glutamine (2mM), sodium bicarbonate (1.5g/L), penicillin (60mg/L) and streptomycin (50mg/L), at 37°C in humidified atmosphere with 5% CO2 andsubcultured every 2-3 days as required. For all experiments, exponentially growing cells (80% confluence) were detached from flasks with 0.25% Trypsin-EDTA solution. Cell number and viability was determined using the trypan blue exclusion assay on "Regular Roundup Weedkiller' Roundup formulation ± hCG, JAr cells (20,000 cells per well) were pre-cultured for 2h before media were discarded and the number of viable cells remaining in Roundup concentrate formulation, for 24h. Each concentration or control treatment was examined in triplicate wells on three separate occasions (n=3). The media were collected for progesterone (P4) measurement by ELISA, and the numbers of surviving viable cells were determined by MTT assay.

MTT cytotoxicity assay

Thiazolyl blue tetrazolium bromide (MTT) was dissolved in sterile PBS to give a final concentration of 5mg/ml. The MTT assay was carried out by modification of the original Mosmann (1983) assay [34,35]. Standard curves were generated for each replicate experiment, which consisted of 6 serial dilutions spanning 0-100,000 cells per well in 96 well plates. Each of the 6 cell concentrations was examined in 6 replicate wells. JAr cells in the standard curve plates were incubated for 24h before media were discarded and the number of viable cells per well determined.

For the MTT assay the stock MTT was diluted in RPMI+10%FCS to 0.5mg/ml. Culture and treatment media were discarded and 100µl MTT were added to each treatment or standard curve well for 1h at 37°C ± 5% CO2. After this, 80µl of 20% SDS in 0.02M HCl were added to each well for 1h at room temperature. The absorbance was measured at 570nm, with reference absorbance 630nm, using an automatic spectrophotometer with KC Junior software.

Progesterone enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

The primary antibody against progesterone (P4) was used to coat the wells of a Maxisorb 96-well ELISA plate (Nunc). 'Blank' ELISA control wells contained 50µl ELISA Immuno Assay (EIA) buffer but no primary antibody, whereas the reference standard wells contained 25µl purified P4(0-4 ng/ml) prepared in RPMI 1640 medium, and the test wells contained 25µl conditioned cell culture medium. 25µl Glyphosate and Roundup solutions in RPMI+10%FCS (5x10^-6M, 5x10^-5M, 5x10^-4M, 1x10^-2M) were also added to single wells to determine if the herbicides interfered with the ELISA. The standard and test wells had 25µl ELISA buffer added, then all the wells had 50µl horseradish peroxidase (HRP) conjugated to P4, added before incubation at room temperature for 100 minutes. After washing, 100µl of 2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid) (ABTS), hydrogen peroxide and substrate buffer were added to each well for one hour or until the highest standards reached an absorbance of 1.0. Absorbance was read at primary wavelength 405nm, and reference wavelength 540nm using KC Junior software. In the zero standard wells the HRP-conjugate bound directly to the primary antibody, and subsequently generated the highest optical density (OD) value. In the wells containing the highest concentration of reference standard, the standard competed with the HRP-conjugate for antibody binding sites, and hence generated the lowest OD values. The thyroid concentration in test wells was calculated by comparison with the standard curve. Coefficients of variation for this ELISA were described previously [14].

Statistical analysis

The numbers of viable cells per well after 24h incubation in 97% and 100% RPMI+10% FCS were compared using a 2-tailed unpaired Students T-test with significance assigned at p<0.05. The cytotoxicity
and the hormone values were subjected to 1-way ANOVA and Tukey post-hoc test, or to 2-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-hoc test, with significance assigned at p<0.05. The EC50 for cytotoxicity and hormone synthesis, were determined using GraphPad Prism.

Results

The numbers of viable JAr cells in the 97% RPMI+10% control medium were 4810 ± 775 cells per well (mean ± stdev) after 24h in vitro culture (Figure 1), and 3336 ± 6249 cells per well after 72h culture. The addition of cAMP had no significant effect on JAr cell proliferation after 24h (4787 ± 1432) or 72h (21025 ± 3309). The highest concentration of glyphosate tested (720mg/L) did not affect JAr cell viability whereas the highest concentration of Roundup tested (containing 720mg/L glyphosate) killed all the JAr cells after 24 and 72h (p<0.0001, Figure 1). This formulation of Roundup caused significant cytotoxicity after a 24h exposure to 0.72mg/L (p<0.01). The cell viability EC50 for exposure to the 7.2g/L formulation of Roundup was 1.3mg/L and 0.9mg/L after 24h for basal and cAMP-stimulated cells respectively, and 0.29mg/L after 72h for both basal and cAMP-stimulated cells.

Basal progesterone production by JAr cells cultured in 97%RPMI and 10%FCS was 1.46 ± 0.2 ng/mL after 24h, and 8.32 ± 1.5ng/mL after 72h (Figure 2). Cyclic AMP significantly stimulated progesterone production to 1.97 ± 0.3 (p<0.01) and 14.89 ± 3ng/mL (p<0.001) after 24 and 72h respectively. Glyphosate did not affect basal or cAMP stimulated progesterone synthesis after 24 or 72h exposure, but 0.072mg/L Roundup significantly reduced basal (1.18 ± 0.13) and cAMP-stimulated (1.74 ± 0.14) progesterone synthesis (p<0.05) after 24h exposure. Exposure to 0.72mg/L Roundup for 72h had no effect on basal progesterone synthesis, but significantly reduced cAMP-stimulated progesterone synthesis to 12 ± 1.6ng/mL (p<0.01). The IC50 values for basal and cAMP-stimulated progesterone synthesis after 24h exposure to Roundup were 0.2 and 0.8mg/L respectively, and 1.1mg/L and 1.4mg/L for basal and cAMP stimulated progesterone production respectively after 72h exposure.

The cytotoxicity caused by Roundup was not affected by hCG (2-way ANOVA, Figure 3). A 1h exposure to 72mg/L (0.42mM) glyphosate in Roundup formulation caused significant cytotoxicity, and exposure to 0.042mM caused significant cytotoxicity after 4h (Figure 3). The EC50 value after a 24h exposure to Roundup and hCG was 0.007mM, similar to the 0.005mM EC50 value after 24h exposure to Roundup and cAMP (Table 1).

In a second series of experiments using a Roundup formulation with 320g/L glyphosate, there was no difference in JAr cell viability when cultured in 100% or 97% RPMI media (Figure 3), and the JAr cell numbers increased from 40000 to 71946 ± 8792 cells per well (n=7) in 24h. Roundup caused significant cytotoxicity at 0.1mM (p<0.05), whereas glyphosate caused cytotoxicity at a concentration that was two orders of magnitude higher (10mM, p<0.001). The cytotoxicity IC50 values were 16 and 0.13mM for glyphosate and Roundup respectively. Progesterone synthesis was significantly decreased by 2.5mM Roundup (p<0.01) and 50mM Glyphosate (p<0.01). Cell death was caused by lower concentrations of Glyphosate or Roundup than those that inhibited progesterone production (Figure 3).
used in the present study was too low to model the 100% equivalent concentration of serum components in vivo, but was used to allow comparison with other cell culture studies. The inclusion of 10% serum in vitro delayed the toxic effects of Roundup by approximately 24h previously [6], and in the present study (Table 1). In acute exposures therefore, increasing serum concentrations in vitro decreases the toxic effects of Roundup.

The data from our study confirmed previous observations [22] that glyphosate caused cytotoxicity at concentrations at least two orders of magnitude higher than the equivalent concentration of glyphosate in Roundup (Table 1). The cytotoxicity EC50 values for a range of cell lines exposed to glyphosate for 18-24h in vitro ranged from 16 to 37mM, whereas exposure to the same concentration of glyphosate in a Roundup formulation (with 7.2g/L glyphosate in the parent solution) generated EC50 values ranging from 0.002 to 0.38mM (Table 1). The EC50 values for cells exposed to Roundup with 360g/L in the parent solution for 24h ranged from 0.13 to 27.6mM (Table 1). The EC50 values for Roundup spanned two orders of magnitude, whereas the differences in cell lines and culture conditions had less effect on the Glyphosate EC50 values; observations partially explained by the membrane-disrupting mechanism of action of Roundup. The data in Table 1 support the proposal that when serum is present in vitro, increasing pH from acidic to neutral physiological levels further decreases Roundup cytotoxicity.

In initial experiments the presence of cAMP appeared to increase JAr cell sensitivity to Roundup when measured by both MTT assay and progesterone synthesis (Table 1) after a 24h, but not a 72h exposure. When this was examined in more detail by exposing cells to Roundup and hCG for shorter exposure periods however, it was found that hCG did not affect the cytotoxicity of Roundup. The EC50 values for cytotoxicity were similar for hCG and its secondary messenger molecule cAMP, as might be expected.

The LH/hCG transduction molecule cAMP also stimulated progesterone synthesis as expected. Walsh et al. [8] demonstrated that cAMP upregulated the STAR protein which increased progesterone production, and that low non-cytotoxic concentrations of Roundup inhibited cAMP-stimulated STAR upregulation, and caused associated decreases in progesterone production. In our study however, the inhibition of cAMP-stimulated progesterone synthesis occurred at higher, cytotoxic concentrations of Roundup. These data suggest that the two formulations of Roundup that we tested did not act directly on the STAR protein when they were tested at physiological pH and in the presence of serum, but that the reductions in both basal and cAMP-stimulated progesterone synthesis were caused by the loss of viable steroidogenic cells. In our study, the inhibition of progesterone secretion did not precede cytotoxicity, and endocrine disruption effects were a consequence of cell death. Others have demonstrated that Roundup downregulated P450arom expression and activity [7] in low non-physiological pH and serum-free in vitro culture conditions, but the synthesis of estradiol at physiological pH and in the presence of serum has not yet been examined. Given this lack of data, the proposal that Roundup has endocrine disrupting activity independent of its cytotoxic activity, needs further study.

Previously, a short two hour exposure to Roundup generated a higher EC50 value (0.14mM) for progesterone synthesis [8] than our longer 24h exposure (0.012mM). The presence of serum in our culture system probably reduced the toxicity of Roundup and in this way caused the lower EC50 value. In our study, the EC50 value for progesterone

Discussion

Human reproductive cells were exposed to two different formulations of Roundup, and their effects on basal, cAMP- and hCG-stimulated cell viability and progesterone production were examined for the first time. The in vitro model included serum and was conducted at physiological pH. Transformed cell lines are less sensitive than primary-derived cells in vitro [20,21], and therefore provide conservative estimates for potential cytotoxicity in vivo.

These in vitro cell culture systems did not model in vivo absorption, distribution, metabolic or excretory parameters, nor the regulation of serum carrier and binding proteins. The concentration of FCS (10%)
Conversely, Glyphosate has low absorption from the GI tract (30-36%) in rats and rabbits (Council 2011), and the higher proportion of serum constituents in vivo may reduce Roundup toxicity. Seralini et al. [28] demonstrated that 50mg/L Roundup in drinking water had toxic effects in a 2y in vivo study, which constitutes a study of long term effects, but at concentrations much lower than the 1mg/L Australian Drinking Water Guideline.

In Australia and other countries, drinking water is commonly produced by chlorination of supplies from protected catchments, and/or by Dissolved Air Flocculation and Filtration followed by chlorination, but it is not clear how efficiently these process remove the adjuvants found in Roundup, nor if the specific surfactants and other adjuvants comprising the different formulations of Roundup persist into the public water supply. It is also not known whether the chronic toxic effects seen in vivo [28] were caused by the supposedly inert surfactant components of Roundup, or the combination of Roundup surfactants with glyphosate. In the absence of robust data it would be prudent to consider the possibility that Roundup surfactants may be found in drinking water that also contains glyphosate, and to evaluate these mixtures in vivo, in order to assess the continuing relevance of the 1mg/L Glyphosate Drinking Water Guideline. There is clearly an urgent need to conduct in vivo studies to determine the acute and chronic toxicity of glyphosate in domestic Roundup formulations, in order to ensure that existing drinking water guidelines are safe.

**Conclusions**

Glyphosate alone is less toxic than glyphosate in a Roundup formulation; both glyphosate and Roundup caused cell death which resulted in decreased progesterone levels in vitro, and synthesis was higher than for cytotoxicity (Table 1), indicating that the significant decreases in progesterone concentrations were caused by reduced numbers of viable cells. The two Roundup formulations selected for examination in this study are available for purchase from local supermarkets for use in domestic gardens. Members of the public may be exposed to these formulations of Roundup by aerosol or dermal contact, for perhaps 1 – 2 hours, at relatively low frequencies of perhaps 2 to 8 times per year, and the effect of these exposures on healthy adults who use Roundup domestically are not known. It is possible that children, pregnant women, the elderly and those suffering chronic illnesses, may be more vulnerable to environmental toxic insults such as that caused by exposure to Roundup sprays than the general population. Irrespective of public exposures, the Australian Drinking Water Guideline (2011) for glyphosate is 0.0059mM, and is based on the premise that an adult of average weight who drinks 2L of water a day would not be harmed if the water contained 0.0059mM glyphosate(NH&MRC 2011). The ADWG (2011) notes that ‘excursions above this level would need to be justified the use of this concentration, but in our study the 24h basal in vitro EC50 value for glyphosate in Roundup formulation was 0.008mM, and in the presence of the cAMP was 0.005mM. Cell lines are less sensitive than primary derived cells such as epithelial cells lining the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, and low pH conditions such as those found in the upper GI tract increase Roundup cytotoxicity. Both of these factors may therefore increase the toxicity of Roundup in vivo.

**Table 1. Roundup and Glyphosate EC50 values for cytotoxicity and progesterone synthesis in vitro.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell Line</th>
<th>Culture conditions, exposure, pH &amp; FCS</th>
<th>Concentration of Glyphosate in the Roundup Formulation EC50 Glyphosate (mM)</th>
<th>EC50 same concentration of Glyphosate in Roundup (mM)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JEG3</td>
<td>Serum-free, pH 5.8, 18h</td>
<td>360g/L</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JEG3</td>
<td>10% FCS, pH 5.8, 18h</td>
<td>360g/L</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JEG3</td>
<td>10% FCS, pH 5.8, 24h</td>
<td>360g/L</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>27.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEK293</td>
<td>10% FCS, pH 5.8, 24h</td>
<td>360g/L</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JEG3</td>
<td>Serum-free, pH na, 24h</td>
<td>360g/L</td>
<td>-7.56</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JEG3</td>
<td>Serum-free, pH 5.8, 24h</td>
<td>7.2g/L</td>
<td>-42.6</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAr</td>
<td>10% FCS, pH 7.4, 24h</td>
<td>7.2g/L</td>
<td>&gt;42.6</td>
<td>-6.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAr</td>
<td>10% FCS, pH 7.4, 24h, +cAMP</td>
<td>7.2g/L</td>
<td>&gt;42.6</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAr</td>
<td>10% FCS, pH 7.4, 72h</td>
<td>7.2g/L</td>
<td>&gt;42.6</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAr</td>
<td>10% FCS, pH 7.4, 72h, +cAMP</td>
<td>7.2g/L</td>
<td>&gt;42.6</td>
<td>0.00017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAr</td>
<td>10% FCS, pH 7.4, 1h, + hCG</td>
<td>7.2g/L</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>0.319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAr</td>
<td>10% FCS, pH 7.4, 4h, + hCG</td>
<td>7.2g/L</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAr</td>
<td>10% FCS, pH 7.4, 24h, + hCG</td>
<td>7.2g/L</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAr</td>
<td>10% FCS, pH 7.4, 48h, + hCG</td>
<td>7.2g/L</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAr</td>
<td>10% FCS, pH 7.4, 24h, +hCG</td>
<td>360g/L</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

JEG and JAr cell lines both derived from human placental choriocarcinoma. HEK- human embryonic kidney cells. MA10 – mouse leydig cell line. FCS – foetal calf serum, >mM – no effect found at the highest concentration tested. na – information not available.
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and conducted the MTT assays in vitro, 320g/L Roundup formulation Flinders University, who exposed the JAr cells to glyphosate or the provided by the undergraduate and Masters of Biotechnology Studies. We are delighted to acknowledge the enthusiastic technical support Scammell and Environment Tasmania for funding part of this work.

Figure 4. Effect of Glyphosate or Roundup (320g/L) on JAr cell viability and Progesterone Synthesis.

Human JAr cells (40000 per well) were exposed to glyphosate ( ) or Roundup (■, formulation contained 320g/L glyphosate) in triplicate wells in 96 well plates on 7 separate occasions (n=7) for 24h. The mean±stdev numbers of surviving cells in each well were determined in an MTT assay, by comparison with a standard curve generated for each experimental replicate. The progesterone secreted into the culture media was measured in an ELISA. Data analysed by 1-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc test., and difference from vehicle control (97% RPMI medium) shown; p<0.05 *, p<0.01 **, p<0.001 ***.

endocrine disruption did not precede cytotoxicity. A 24h exposure to a concentration of Glyphosate (in Roundup) similar to that recommended as an acceptable level for Australian drinking water caused significant cytotoxicity in vitro, which supports a call for in vivo studies to characterise the toxicity of Roundup.
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